IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA
Wheeling

STEPHEN K. HARRIS,

Petitioner.

٧.

Civil Action No. 5:21-CV-52 Judge Bailey

R.M. WOLFE, Warden,

Respondent.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

The above referenced case is before this Court upon the magistrate judge's recommendation that Respondent's Motion to Dismiss, or, in the alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. 11] be granted and petitioner's Petition for Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 [Doc. 1] be denied and dismissed with prejudice. Moreover, Magistrate Judge Mazzone recommends that petitioner's Motion Requesting an Evidentiary Hearing [Doc. 16] be denied as moot.

This Court is charged with conducting a *de novo* review of any portion of the magistrate judge's report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). However, absent prompt objection by a dissatisfied party, it appears that Congress did not intend for the district court to review the factual and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Additionally, any party who fails to file timely, written objections to the magistrate judge's report pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) waives the right to raise those objections at the appellate court level. *United*

States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 467 U.S. 1208 (1984). No

objections have been filed to the magistrate judge's report and recommendation.

A de novo review of the record indicates that the magistrate judge's report

accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. Accordingly, the magistrate

judge's report and recommendation [Doc. 17] is AFFIRMED, and Respondent's Motion to

Dismiss or, in the alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. 11] is GRANTED and

petitioner's Petition for Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 [Doc. 1] is DENIED

and **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**. Furthermore, petitioner's Motion Requesting an

Evidentiary Hearing [Doc. 16] is hereby DENIED AS MOOT. This Court further DIRECTS

the Clerk to enter judgment in favor of the respondent and to STRIKE this case from the

active docket of this Court.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to any counsel of record and

to mail a copy to the pro se petitioner.

DATED: October 5, 2021.

STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2